

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
10/617,595	PEYERL ET AL.	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Robert B. Davis	1722	

**Status of Application:** *Allowed*

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time: 11:21 AM**

☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*None.*

**Claims discussed:**  
26

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None.*

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*The examiner called Mr. Hasse to suggest canceling claim 26 to avoid an objection to the claim for not further limiting the structure of claim 1. Mr. Hasse agreed to the change. It was agreed that the change would be done by examiner's amendment.*

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)